

PUBLIC RESPONSE – PROPOSED INCORPORATION OF GOLDEN, KANSAS
06.26.2021 E-MAIL RECEIPT – VALENTI, DEBRA

From: Debra Valenti <deb.val1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 26, 2021 7:52 PM
To: clerk <clerk@miamicountyks.org>
Subject: Golden-City In Name Only



****This email originated from outside of County of Miami****

Board of Miami County Commissioners
c/o Janet White, County Clerk

Janet,

I've attached a pdf of a letter from me to the County Commissioners.

Thank you,

Debra Valenti
Third Generation Resident of Miami County, Kansas
23286 S. Moonlight Rd.
Spring Hill, KS 66083

ATTACHMENT:



June 26, 2021

Dear Miami County Commissioners:

I attended the June 23rd hearing on the proposed city of Golden, Kansas.

During the hearing some points were brought up that concern me. The stated purpose of Golden is to keep warehouses out of Miami County, however, the representatives have stated that the new city would not put any additional restrictions on property owners. They even said that they wouldn't keep any property owners from selling property to anyone...including NorthPoint. If this is the case, then I don't know what the purpose of Golden is.

An initial 3 mill levy was mentioned. It is my understanding that a 3 mill levy would mean an extra \$300 of taxes per every \$100,000 in assessed property value. This proposed tax is not allocated to pay for any services or even a city hall.

Currently, this proposed city has requested that Miami County continue to maintain the roads; provide services such as police, fire, water and handle building codes/permits. This makes it a city in name only and not a good partner for Miami County.

Although I do not want any increase in my taxes, I really don't want an increase with no additional benefit. It will even cost me the additional time and money of changing my address on my driver's license and all my accounts...when I didn't move.

Forming a city and keeping the area rural are at opposite ends of the spectrum. I, like the proponents of Golden, want to keep the area rural for as long as possible. I do, however, fully understand that living so close to 3 growing cities means that eventually one of them might extend their boundaries to include this area.

I would like to let the natural course of events continue. Let the existing cities grow and let this area stay rural for as long as it can.

If, and when, this area becomes populated enough to truly support a city and all that being a city entails then and only then can it be a strong partner with the surrounding cities and an asset to the county. Right now, it will be a city in name only.

If your studies find that the city should proceed I respectfully request that the submitted border be altered and stop prior to my family ground.

Thank you,

Debra Valenti
Third Generation Resident of Miami County, Kansas
23286 S. Moonlight Rd.
Spring Hill, KS 66083